and dioxetanones.¹ The modest rate enhancements provided by the hydroxy and methoxy substituents are consistent with a biradical mechanism for the decomposition of these dioxetanes involving rate-limiting O-O bond homolysis.15

More significantly, we find that deprotonation of 5c to give the phenoxide-substitued dioxetane 5d dramatically changes the properties of 5 to those more typical of the biological intermediate 2a. While 5c has a half-life of 17 years at -30 °C, treatment of a solution of 5c in toluene at -30 °C with the hindered base (Me₃Si)₂MeCONa results in a flash of brilliant bluish luminescence. Rate constants for the decomposition of 5d were measured by injection of 1 equiv of base in toluene into a prethermostated solution of 5c (10⁻⁴ M in toluene) at temperatures from -35 to 2 °C. Control experiments have shown that the rates are unaffected by an excess of base but are reduced significantly with less than 1 equiv. Within experimental error, the following bases give rise to identical rates of decomposition for 5d: CsHCO₃ with 24-crown-8, KOH or KO-t-Bu with 18-crown-6, and (Me₃Si)₂MeCONa with 15-crown-5. An Arrhenius plot (Figure 1) gave an activation energy of 13.4 kcal/mol, with a calculated half-life at 25 °C of only 46 ms. The relative rate of decomposition of 5d vs. 5c ($k_{0^{-}/0H}$) at 25 °C is 4.4 × 10⁶.

There is also a significant increase in the singlet chemiexcitation efficiency upon deprotonation (Table I). Cleavage product 6d is sufficiently fluorescent ($\phi_{\rm F} = 0.002$)¹⁶ so that ${}^{\rm I}\phi_{\rm CE}$ could be determined directly. As the phenoxide ion 6d is chemically unstable in the presence of oxygen, both the fluorescence quantum yield of 6d and the chemiluminescence of 5d were measured in N_2 -bubbled solutions.¹⁷

$$\underline{sd} \longrightarrow \underbrace{0}_{0} \underbrace{$$

Intramolecular electron-transfer mechanisms have been proposed for the efficient chemiluminescence from dioxetanes bearing easily oxidized substituents.¹⁸ Chemiluminescence has also been observed by Schuster¹⁹ and Adam²⁰ from intermolecular electron-transfer reactions between peroxides and fluorescent hydrocarbons with low oxidation potentials. On the basis of these results, similar mechanisms have been suggested for the bioexcitation process in the firefly system.^{18a,21} For the present case, we suggest that cleavage of dioxetane 5d is initiated by the transfer of an electron from the phenoxide substituent to the peroxide σ^* orbital. Subsequent decomposition of intermediate 7 can yield directly a charge-transfer excited state of 6d. The contrast between dioxetanes 5d and 5a-c together with the results of an earlier study of a related amino-substituted dioxetane^{18a} now provides an explanation for the observations of White of the substituted luciferins 1a-e. Further, a comparison of the efficiencies and stabilities of 5c and 5d prompts us to speculate about a possible control mechanism for the rapid flashing of the firefly involving initiation of luminescence by deprotonation of 2.

Acknowledgment. Support from the U.S. Army Research Office is gratefully acknowledged.


Registry No. 4c, 81616-86-6; 5a, 67592-95-4; 5b, 81616-87-7; 5c, 81616-88-8; 5d, 81616-89-9; 6c, 81616-90-2; 6d, 81616-91-3; 2-phenyl-3-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-1,4-dioxene, 73260-63-6; 4-methoxybenzoin, 1889-84-5; ethylene glycol ditosylate, 6315-52-2.

Stereochemistry of Casbene Biosynthesis

William J. Guilford¹ and Robert M. Coates*

Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois 61801 Received February 8, 1982

The isolation of casbene (1a) from a mixture of diterpene



hydrocarbons produced by incubation of mevalonate (2a) or geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate (GGPP, 3a) with an enzyme extract from castor bean (Ricinus communis L.) seedlings was reported by Robinson and West in 1970.² The structure proposed for casbene has been confirmed and its stereochemistry (1R, 14S)established by total synthesis from methyl (+)-cis-chrysanthemate.³ Further studies by West and co-workers have shown that casbene is a phytoalexin for R. communis,⁴ i.e., a fungalelicited host metabolite that exhibits significant antifungal activity. In the context of the continuing interest on the mechanism and stereochemistry of the biosynthesis of cyclopropane-containing natural products,⁵ we report experimental results that elucidate the stereochemistry of casbene biosynthesis.

Soluble enzyme extracts (S-150 fraction) were prepared from 2.5-3-day-old castor bean seedlings according to the procedures of Robinson and West.^{2,6} In many germinations, the seedlings were inoculated with a spore suspension of Rhizopus Stolonifer 12 h before harvesting to enhance casbene synthetase activity. Large-scale incubations of [2-14C] mevalonic acid (2b) and ATP or of $[1-{}^{3}H_{1}]$ - or $[1-{}^{14}C]GGPP^{7}$ with 300-700 mL of the S-150 enzyme preparations gave rise to the usual mixture of five diterpene hydrocarbons,⁸ from which casbene was separated by column chromatography on silver nitrate impregated silica gel. The incorporation of radioactivity into casbene was typically 5-15% (200-800 µg) from mevalonate and 10-30% (100-300 µg)

(8) Trachylobane, kaurene, sandaracopimaradiene, beyerene, and casbene.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Richardson, W. H.; Montgomery, G. C.; Yelvington, M. B.; O'Neal, H. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7525-7532.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Determined by adding 1 equiv of KO-*t*-Bu/18-crown-6 to a nitro-gen-purged toluene solution of 6c at 3 °C. $\phi_{\rm F}$ for methyl *p*-hydroxybenzoate under the same condition is 0.029 under the same conditions is 0.038.

⁽¹⁷⁾ A referee suggested that singlet emission could result from triplettriplet annihilation. However, the chemiluminescence efficiencies and rates are identical within experimental error in aerated solution, in the presence of 0.2 M 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene or under N_2 and Ar.

 ⁽²⁰⁾ Adam, W.; Cueto, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 6511–6515.
 (21) (a) McCapra, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 946–948. (b) Koo, J.-Y.; Schmidt, S. P.; Schuster, G. B. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1978, 75, 30-33. (c) Zaklika, K. A.; Kissel, T.; Thayer, A. L.; Burns, P. A.; Schaap, A. P. Photochem. Photobiol. 1979, 30, 35-44.

 ³M Co. Fellow, 1977-1978; Eastman Kodak Fellow, 1978-1979;
 Merck and Company Fellow, 1979-1980.
 (2) (a) Robinson, D. R.; West, C. A. *Biochemistry* 1970, 9, 70-79. (b)
 Robinson, D. R.; West, C. A. *Ibid.* 1970, 9, 80-89. (c) Robinson, D. R. Ph.D.

Thesis, University of California, Los Angeles, 1967. (3) Crombie, L.; Kneen, G.; Pattenden, G.; Whybrow, D. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1980, 1711-1717.


^{(4) (}a) Sitton, D.; West, C. A. Phytochemistry 1975, 14, 1921-1925. (b) Stekoll, M.; West, C. A. Plant. Physiol. 1978, 61, 38-45. (c) West, C. A. Naturwissenschaften 1981, 68, 447-457.

^{(5) (}a) Presqualene pyrophosphate: Poulter, C. D.; Rilling, H. C. In "Biosynthesis of Isoprenoid Compounds"; Porter, J. W., Spurgeon, S. L., Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1981; Chapter 8. (b) Cycloartenol: Altman, L. J.; Han, C. Y.; Bertolino, A.; Handy, G.; Laungani, D.; Muller, W.; Schwartz, S.; Shanker, D.; deWolf, W. H.; Yang, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3235-3237. Blätter, W. A. Ph.D. Thesis, ETH, Zürich, 1978. (c) Δ^3 -Carene: Akhila, A.; Banthorpe, D. V. Phytochemistry 1980, 19, 1691-1694. (d) Cyclopropane-containing fatty acids: Law, J. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 1971, 4, 199-203. Buist, P. H.; MacLean, D. B. Can. J. Chem. 1981, (6) Drengler, K. A.; Coates, R. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980.

⁸⁵⁶⁻⁸⁵⁷

⁽⁷⁾ Typical conditions: 0.3 mM potassium mevalonate (6 μM GGPP), 3.1 mM ATP, 12 mM MgCl₂, 0.3 mM MnCl₂, 100 mM Tris-bicarbonate buffer, pH 7.3; 4 h at 30 °C

Scheme I



from GGPP. The identity of cashene was established by its position in the characteristic chromatographic elution profile,² its mass spectrum (GC/MS),⁹ and its ¹H NMR spectrum.⁹


The stereochemistry of cashene biosynthesis at C-1 was revealed by experiments with deuterium-labeled GGPP (Scheme I). Incubation of a mixture of (R,S)- $[1-^{2}H_{1}]$ - and $(R,S)-[1-^{3}H_{1}]$ -GGPP^{6,10} with the S-150 enzyme extract afforded cashene that had lost exactly half (50–51 ± 2% in three separate runs) of the deuterium label according to GC/MS analyses.¹¹ In contast, cashene biosynthesized from a mixture of (S)- $[1-^{2}H_{1}]$ - and (S)- $[1-^{3}H_{1}]$ GGPP^{6,10} lost virtually all (99–100 ± 1% in three runs) of the deuterium. It is therefore apparent that the *pro-S* hydrogen at C-1 is stereospecifically eliminated in this enzymatic cyclopropanation reaction.

The stereochemical course of casbene biosynthesis at C-15 was elucidated by means of carbon-13 labeling. Incubation of [2- 13 C]mevalonic acid (**2c**, 79% enriched)¹² with the S-150 cyclase preparation gave rise to [4,8,12,20- 13 C₄]casbene (**1b**, ca. 300 μ g): 13 C NMR (C₆D₆) δ 29.0 (q, CH₃), 39.8 (t, 2, CH₂), 40.7 (t, 1, CH₂). Oxidation of the 13 C-labeled casbene (ca. 600 μ g) with ruthenium tetroxide-sodium periodate in acetone at room temperature, 13 esterification with diazomethane, and purification by column chromatography gave keto ester **4** (116 μ g, 32%): 13 C NMR (C₆D₆) δ 28.79 (trans ring CH₃), 43.17 (CH₂CO).¹⁴ Authentic samples of this keto ester were obtained by ozonolysis¹⁵ of Δ^2 -carene¹⁷ and by diazomethane esterification of the ethylene

(13) (a) Branca, S. J.; Smith, A. B. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 1026-1030.
(b) Berkowitz, L. M.; Rylander, P. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 6682-6684.

(14) Keto ester 4 from casbene was identified as the (-) enantiomer^{3,15} from NMR spectra in the presence of the chiral solute, (R)(-)-2,2,2-tri-fluoro-1-(9-anthryl)ethanol,¹⁶ which induces nonequivalence of the four methyl groups and one cyclopropane proton of (\pm) -4. This assignment confirms the (1R,14S) stereochemistry of casbene.³

 (1R,14S) stereochemistry of cashene.³
 (15) Cocker, W.; St. J. Lauder, H.; Shannon, P. V. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1975, 332-335.



ketal of 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one with ethyl diazoacetate and hydrolysis.¹⁸ The ¹³C NMR spectrum (C₆D₆) of unlabeled cis keto ester exhibited 11 peaks, 4 of which were assigned to the methyl groups by off-resonance decoupling: δ 14.26 (q, cis ring CH₃), 28.42 (q, COCH₃), 28.79 (q, trans ring CH₃), 50.76 (q, OCH₃). The assignment of the signal at δ 14.26 to the cis ring methyl group was proven by stereospecific synthesis of keto ester labeled with deuterium at this position.¹⁹ As a consequence of the stereochemistry of the isopentenyl–dimethylallyl pyrophosphate isomerization,²⁰ the *pro-E* terminal methyl group of GGPP (**3c**) would become labeled with carbon-13. It follows that the intramolecular cyclopropanation reaction is suprafacial on the *re*, *re* face of the 14,15 double bond of GGPP.

A hypothetical mechanism for the formation of the cyclopropane ring of casbene is depicted in Scheme II²¹ and is based upon the following assumptions: (1) the process occurs via carbonium ion intermediate(s), as is widely accepted for other terpene biogenetic pathways,²¹⁻²³ (2) the initial alkylation takes place with Markovnikov orientation and inversion of stereochemistry analogous to the prenyl transferase reaction,²⁰ (3) a least-motion mechanism involving proton (deuteron) loss from the plane of the incipient three-membered ring is favored. Within the framework of the foregoing assumptions the stereochemistry of the alkylation/ γ elimination process may be characterized as a "Markovnikov/syn" cyclopropanation.²⁴ It is of interest to note that the intermolecular cyclopropanation in the biosynthesis of presqualene pyrophosphate is also "Markovnikov/syn",^{5a} albeit on the opposite (i.e., 2-re, 3-si) face of the 2,3 double bond of farnesyl pyrophosphate producing a trans-substituted cyclopropane ring.

(18) (a) Chopra, A. K. Ph.D. Thesis, Queen Mary College, University of London, 1976. We are grateful to Professor G. P. Moss for providing details of the cyclopropanation procedure. (b) Owen, J.; Simonsen, J. L.; J. Chem. Soc. 1932, 1424-1429; (c) Owen, J.; Simonsen, J. L. Ibid. 1223-1225.

(19) The pro-Z methyl group of 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one ethylene ketal was labeled with deuterium by the following five steps: (a) O_3 , CH_2Cl_2 , C_3H_5N ; (b) $CD_3C(=PPh_3)CO_2CH_3$, CH_2Cl_2 ; (c) AIH_3 , ether; (d) NCS, CH_3CH_3 , CH_2Cl_2 ; (e) LiBEt_3H, THF. The deuterium-labeled ketal was converted to a chromatographically separable mixture of the cis and trans keto esters as described in the text.

(20) Poulter, C. D.; Rilling, H. C. In "Biosynthesis of Isoprenoid Compounds"; Porter, J. W., Spurgeon, S. L., Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1981; Chapter 4.

(21) For a discussion of mechanisms for cyclopropane ring formation in terpene biogenesis see: Coates, R. M. Prog. Chem. Org. Nat. Products 1976, 33, 73-230.

(22) (a) Ruzicka, L. Proc. Chem. Soc. (London) 1959, 341–360. (b) Richards, J. H.; Hendrickson, J. B. "The Biosynthesis of Steroids, Terpenes, and Acetogenins"; W. A. Benjamin: New York, 1964.

(23) A classical tertiary carbonium ion is shown in Scheme II for simplicity. A bridged ion or an edge-protonated cyclopropane would also be satisfactory and equivalent for the present purposes.

(24) An "anti-Markovnikov/anti" cyclopropanation would, of course, also result in the loss of the *pro-S* hydrogen (deuterium) and would be an equally plausible mechanism, albeit presumably higher in energy. A "Markovnikov/anti" mechanism is excluded.

⁽⁹⁾ Mass spectrum, m/e (rel intensity) 272 (M⁺, 14), 136 (54), 121 (100), 107 (71), 93 (92); ¹H NMR (360 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 0.19 (t, 1 H, J = 10 Hz), 0.98 (s, 3 H), 1.06 (s, 3 H), 1.52 (s, 3 H), 1.61 (s, 3 H), 1.66 (s, 3 H), 1.95 (m, 2 H), 2.07-2.30 (m, 10 H), 5.05 (m, 2 H), 5.15 (m, 1 H).

⁽¹⁰⁾ Coates, R. M.; Cavender, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6358-6359.

⁽¹¹⁾ The selective ion monitoring technique was used. The total area for each m/e value was measured and compared so as to avoid isotopic fractionation during GC/MS analyses.

^{(12) (}a) Tanabe, M.; Peters, R. H. Org. Synth. 1981, 60, 92–101. (b) We are grateful to P. Roach-Vettel and S. Rhee for preparing [2-¹³C]mevalonic acid.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Pirkle, W. H.; Hoover, D. J. In "Topics in Stereochemistry"; Eliel, E. L., Ed.; in press.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Brunetti, P.; Fringuelli, F.; Taticchi, A. Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1977, 107, 433.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported in part by a grant (GM 13956) from the National Institutes of Health. High-field NMR spectra and GC/MS analyses were obtained with the aid of the University of Illinois NSF Regional Instrumentation Facility (NSF CHE 79-16100) and a grant from the National Cancer Institute (CA 11388), respectively. We are grateful to Professor Charles A. West, Department of Chemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, for his advice and encouragement throughout this work and to Dr. H. Meier, Hofmann-La Roche, Basel, Switzerland, for a generous gift of (E,E)-farnesylacetone.


Registry No. 1a, 24286-51-9; 1b, 81408-84-6; 4, 73175-23-2; cis-4-d₃, 81408-85-7; trans-4-d3, 81445-46-7; (-)-4, 81408-86-8; 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one ethylene ketal, 3695-38-3.

Stereoselective Induction of Biomimetic Polyene Cyclizations¹ by Remote Chiral Centers. Effect of a Pro-C-7 Substituent in a Substrate Leading to Steroidal Products

William S. Johnson,* Daniel Berner, Donald J. Dumas, Pieter J. R. Nederlof, and John Welch

> Department of Chemistry, Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 Received February 8, 1982

Ever since it was discovered that in the biomimetic cyclization of the substrates 1a and 1b the chiral center at pro-C-11 induced



ring closure so as to give preferentially the 11α -substituted products 2a and 2b, respectively²—a results that has led to the total asymmetric synthesis of a corticoid³-we have been intrigued by the possibility that a chiral center, even further removed from the initiating site of reaction might mediate diastereoselective cyclization. The case with a chiral center at pro-C-7, as in substrate 3a, is particularly interesting for theoretical reasons (see below), and it also offered the potential of leading to some important steroids, e.g., spironolactone.

The diastereoselectivity previously observed in the cyclization $1a \rightarrow 2a$ as well as $1b \rightarrow 2b$ is easily understood because the

tetracyclic product	from 3a (R = CH_3)	from 4a (R = CH ₃)	from 3b (R = H)	
R H H OCH,C.H,	61.5	49	59	48
	1.5	27.5	1	26
R H H B OCH;C,H,	12.5	5	17	6
R H H H 9 OCH ₁ C ₄ H,	2	6.5	3.5	6
R H H H OCH ₁₀ CH ₂ C ₄ H ₃	9	4.5	2	2
R H H H H 11 OCH ₂ C ₄ H,	2	3.5	1	1
R H H OCH ₁ CC ₄ H ₅	8	0	8	0
unidentified products	3.5	4	8.5	11

^a By GC on a 14-m SE 54 capillary column. Values are uncorrected for any differences in detector response.

transition state required for production of the (undetected) 11β epimer involves a destabilizing 1,3-diaxial interaction between the C-19-methyl group at pro-C-10 and the substituent (R^2) at pro-C-11. This contention was confirmed by the fact that cyclization of substrate 1c, lacking such a 1,3-diaxial interaction (no C-19 methyl) was not diastereoselective, forming ca. equal amounts of 2c and its 11β epimer.⁴

In the cyclization of 3 and 4, however, there are no obvious steric interactions that might lead to diastereoselectivity.⁵ One possibility that comes to mind is that, if there were extensive coiling of the acyclic chain in the transition state (as for a totally concerted process), then the pro-equatorial β -substituent at pro-C-7 could have nonbonded interactions with the pro-C-15 methylene group. Such a hypothesis, however, seemed to be divergent with van Tamelen's evidence⁶ that epoxide-initiated polyene cyclizations are not concerted beyond the stage of the formation of the first ring.⁷ Indeed we were not optimistic about the pro-C-7 substituent problem until the emergence of some encouraging, although preliminary, results on the cyclization of 3b.8

⁽¹⁾ For a recent paper in the series on biomimetic polyene cyclizations, see: Johnson, W. S.; Frei, B.; Gopalan, A. S. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 1512-1513.
(2) (a) Johnson, W. S.; DuBois, G. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 1038-1039.
(b) Johnson, W. S.; Escher, S.; Metcalf, B. W. Ibid. 1976, 98,

^{1039-1041. (}c) Compare: Johnson, W. S.; Lyle, T. A.; Daub, G. W. J. Org.

Chem. 1982, 47, 161–163. (3) Johnson, W. S.; Brinkmeyer, R. S.; Kapoor, V. M.; Yarnell, T. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 8341–8343.

⁽⁴⁾ Johnson, W. S.; Yarnell, T. M., unpublished work. See: T. M. Yarnell, Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 1975.

⁽⁵⁾ Note that the chiral center in the cyclopentenol moiety of 3 is expected to be lost, by dehydration, prior to cyclization; therefore, it may be ignored as a factor influencing the stereochemical course of the reaction (cf. footnote 6, ref 2a).

⁽⁶⁾ van Tamelen, E. E.; James, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 950-952.

⁽⁷⁾ The concerted cyclization observed for formation of two rings (Bartlett, P. A.; Brauman, J. I.; Johnson, W. S.; Volkmann, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 7502-7504) is a special case involving participation of an aromatic ring. It is not so surprising, therefore, that remote substituents in such systems have resulted in diastereoselective cyclizations: Broess, A. I. A.; van Vliet, N. P.; Zeelen, F. J. J. Chem. Res., Synop. 1981, 20-21 and ref 1 cited therein. Macco, A. A.; Buck, H. M. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2655-2660 and ref 1 cited therein.